

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

Computer Forensic Services, Inc. and 360  
Security Services LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

BraunHagey & Borden LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 22-cv-02665 (DWF/ECW)

**DECLARATION OF MARK  
LANTERMAN IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL  
ARBITRATION AND TO SELECT  
ARBITRATOR PURSUANT TO 9  
U.S.C. § 5**

I, Mark Lanterman, declare and state as follows:

1. My name is Mark Lanterman. I have personal knowledge of the facts sets forth in this Declaration, and I would competently testify as to the matters set forth herein. I am the Chief Technology Officer of Computer Forensic Services, Inc. ("CFS") located in Minneapolis, Minnesota.

2. BraunHagey & Borden ("BraunHagey") is a law firm that was appointed to represent a class in a currently-pending lawsuit captioned *Spectrum Scientifics v. Celestron*, Case No. 5:20-cv-03642-EJD (N.D. Cal.) ("the Spectrum Litigation").

3. On June 1, 2021, ("BraunHagey") engaged CFS and 360 Security Services LLC ("360") to provide expert, digital forensic, and document scanning services. A true and correct copy of the parties' Engagement Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

4. Before CFS can analyze any digital media, CFS must preserve it.

5. In connection with the Spectrum Litigation, BraunHagey and/or its client identified twenty (20) electronic devices for forensic preservation.

6. A CFS employee travelled onsite to the client's location in Bethel, Minnesota to pick-up the devices.

7. The forensic preservation process creates a duplicate copy of the devices and is an accurate representation of the data contained on them.

8. Table 1 below provides a summary of the devices received, and whether CFS was able to extract data from them.

<b>Device Description</b>	<b>Serial Number</b>	<b>Device Accessible</b>
iMac 20in 2.16	QP7090X7VUW	Yes
Quantum FireBall SE IDE	334820362479	Yes
IBM DORS-32160 SCSI	85G3683E596700S61	Yes
Conner SFP1080S SCSI	EX988X6	No
Quantum ProDrive LPS SCSI	94641013	No
Quantum ProDrive ELS SCSI	9372103B3	No
Quantum ProDrive ELS SCSI	93209033	No
Quantum ProDrive LPS SCSI	142305410899	No
Mad Dog External USB 2.0	N/A	Yes
WD Caviar WD400	WMAMA43_209	No
Quantum ProDrive LPS SCSI	MK1030VH039C	No
Unknown Drive	Unknown	No
MacBookPro	C02NH2JCG3QK	Yes
DELL PowerEdge 6300 Server	Service Tag: HGV5A	Yes
iPhone SE A2275	F17CQ9KZPLJY	Yes
iPhone 6 A1549	C7JNTRL9G5MG	Yes

HP Prodesk 400 Tower	MXL440367X	Yes
IBM M Pro Tower	23FY541	Yes
Apple (Macintosh) Centris 650 Tower	FC338DOGCC5	Yes

*Table 1: Device Listing*

9. The inaccessible devices were physically damaged, thus making attempts to recover data from them difficult. BraunHagey advised us that several of the devices were damaged in a fire. CFS, however, was ultimately able to recover data from a number of the fire-damaged devices, as noted above.

10. In addition to the electronic sources outlined in Table 1, BraunHagey identified 48,483 pages of paper documents. These documents were subsequently scanned, enhanced, and produced to BraunHagey by 360. That is, BraunHagey is in possession of copies of all of the scanned paper documents.

11. Following the successful preservation of the accessible devices outlined in Table 1, CFS provided an estimate to Defendant for its services on or around June 14, 2021. The estimate was for 6-10 hours per device to run approximately nineteen (19) search terms across the data set, and then produce responsive materials to BraunHagey's Relativity provider. Based on the number of devices that would ultimately prove to be accessible, this estimate totaled between \$21,450 and \$35,750. CFS's total billing, including communications, analysis (e.g., search terms), data exports, email account preservations, iPhone repairs, and preservation costs, ultimately totaled \$33,119.80.

12. Although it is not standard for CFS to do so, because BraunHagey advised that it was urgent that it receive the data, CFS remitted almost all of the data from the

preserved devices to BraunHagey before CFS received payment. That is, BraunHagey is currently in possession of nearly all of the data CFS preserved in this case.

13. Joseph Lanterman, CFS's Director of Finance, notified Hunter Thomson, an attorney at Defendant, of the pending data hosting charges on September 23, 2021. Joseph Lanterman's email stated, "Please note that CFS continues to host a significant amount of data (the forensic images) on this project. We will need to begin billing for this hosting starting Oct. 1, 2021." Mr. Thomson never responded to this email.

14. On November 17, 2021, Ron Fisher, a Partner with Defendant, advised via email that CFS must continue to store the data and could not destroy the property, despite Defendant's refusal to pay for the same. Consequently, charges for hosting continue to accrue. In total, CFS has preserved approximately 3,141 GB of data. At the agreed upon rate in the Engagement Agreement (\$3.45 per GB per month), this amounts to \$361.20 per diem.

15. Joseph Lanterman sent four (4) invoices on behalf of CFS and 360 to Mr. Thomson on July 7, 2021, July 27, 2021, August 4, 2021, and September 23, 2021. (On August 4, 2021, CFS sent invoices containing additional billing information to Thomson. CFS also followed up on the outstanding invoices on August 30, 2021.

16. On September 3, 2021, Mr. Thomson responded to CFS's August 30, 2021 email saying "Got it. We'll circle back with you on Tuesday." This promised follow-up by Mr. Thomson never occurred.

17. On October 8, 2021, I followed up in an email to Matthew Borden, a more senior attorney in the firm, and Mr. Thomson, asking “Why haven’t [CFS and 360’s] invoices been paid.”

18. On October 14, 2021, I followed up again informing Mr. Borden and Mr. Thomson that “I have left messages for both of you. If I don’t hear from you this week, I intend to escalate. I would appreciate a response.”

19. On October 14, 2021, Mr. Borden finally responded, stating:

Hunter has been in consistent contact with Joe Lanterman at your firm regarding these issues. [...] The concern we have with the invoices is that Joe has confirmed that ComputerForensics has billed numerous hours that its computers were processing data as “analyst time.” Billing computer time as analyst time is not industry standard, and our retainer agreement provides for payment for actual analyst time, not computer time. We are additionally concerned about the volume of hours billed without success in recovering any data, particularly when our subsequent vendor was able to recover data relatively quickly.

20. BraunHagey did not provide any written dispute of these charges until October 14, 2021. This was approximately 99 days after CFS’s first invoice, 71 days after the second CFS invoice, and 79 days after 360’s invoice.

21. Through the fall of 2021, after this communication in which BraunHagey refused to pay its invoices, I contacted BraunHagey attorneys on numerous occasions asking for them to agree to an arbitrator and an arbitration forum, including by email and by telephone. I received no response.

22. Having heard no response from anyone at BraunHagey regarding my request for arbitration under the Engagement Agreement, in November 2021, I contacted former Minnesota Supreme Court Justice James Gilbert, who I know frequently arbitrates

private disputes, inquiring whether he was available to arbitrate this dispute between the parties under the rules of the American Arbitration Association.

23. Finally, this drew a response from someone at BraunHagey, as Ron Fisher, a partner with BraunHagey, wrote back to me and Justice Gilbert's office on November 17, 2021 advising that BraunHagey would not agree to arbitrate the dispute with Justice Gilbert and that Defendant would agree to arbitrate the dispute before "JAMS or the American Arbitration Association."

24. My attorneys sent a letter to BraunHagey on December 2, 2021, again requesting that Defendant agree to arbitrate before JAMS or AAA.

25. Mr. Fisher responded to this letter on December 10, 2021, stating that Defendant was "willing to proceed to arbitration before either JAMS or the American Arbitration Association under those bodies' respective commercial arbitration rules, with the arbitrator selected in accordance with the respective rules of those arbitral bodies."

26. On May 31, 2022, consistent with Mr. Fisher's statements that BraunHagey would agree to arbitrate before AAA or JAMS, we filed our Demand for Arbitration with JAMS and served the Demand for Arbitration on BraunHagey.

27. On June 27, 2022, Mr. Fisher wrote to JAMS that BraunHagey did not agree to arbitrate the dispute with JAMS. On July 11, 2022, JAMS determined that it would not arbitrate this dispute in the absence of agreement of the parties or a court order compelling arbitration.

28. My attorneys and BraunHagey's attorney, Brendan Kennedy, continued to correspond regarding this dispute through July and August 2022. We repeatedly asked

BraunHagey to decide whether it would agree to arbitrate before AAA or JAMS, including in a phone call between counsel for the parties on July 20, 2022 and by emails between counsel for the parties on July 26, July 28, August 1, and August 12, 2022.

29. Because BraunHagey refused to agree to an arbitration forum or an arbitrator since at least November 2021, and its proposals with respect to potential arbitration were unsatisfactory and only continued the delay of this action, we filed the state court action on August 16, 2022 to petition the court to appoint an arbitrator and order BraunHagey to arbitrate. My attorney then asked BraunHagey's attorney, Mr. Kenny, whether he was authorized to accept service of the Complaint in the state court action in writing on August 16, August 17, August 23, and August 24. He finally advised he was not authorized to accept service of the Complaint in the state court action on August 24.

30. Accordingly, on August 29, 2022, pursuant to Minn. R. Civ. P. 4.05, we mailed a request for waiver of service to BraunHagey. BraunHagey waived service on September 23, 2022. It then removed the state court action to this Court on October 24, 2022.

31. The outstanding balance owed by BraunHagey to CFS and 360 as of November 3, 2022 is \$201,782.70.

I declare under penalty of perjury that everything I have stated in this document is true and correct.

Executed in Hennepin County, Minnesota.

Dated: November 9, 2022

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark Lanterman", with a stylized flourish at the end.

---

Mark Lanterman